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Abstract

The aim of this study was to observe the effect of composition ratio of precursor and mass of ZnO-CuO for photodegradation of Linear Alkylbenzene Sulphonate. Material synthesis is done by solid stated process. The ZnO-CuO composite was characterized using DRS-UV and FTIR. The percentage of degradation was obtained from the absorbance value measured using a UV-Vis spectrophotometer. The ZnO-CuO composite photodegradation activity in 2:1 ratio was 54.71% for 105 minutes irradiation. The degradation percentage of ZnO-CuO composites have higher photocatalytic activity than single ZnO (53.64 %) and CuO (52.21 %) semiconductors in degrading LAS.

Keywords: phototransformation, surfactant, LAS, composite, ZnO-CuO

1. Introduction

Detergent is a cleaning material commonly used by industrial or household businesses. Detergent production continues to increase every year for cleaning agents. Detergent is a combination of various compounds where the main component of the compound is surface active agents or surfactants. The most commonly used detergent surfactant is LAS or Linear Alkylbenzene Sulphonate.

LAS is an alkyl aryl sulphonate which has a branchless straight chain structure, a benzene ring and a sulphonate. LAS is the conversion of Alkylbenzene sulphonate or ABS, where LAS is more easily degraded in water and is a detergent ‘soft’. Detergent waste is one of the pollutants that can endanger the lives of organisms in the waters because it causes the supply of oxygen from the air to be very slow, due to the foam that covers the surface of the water.

Many technological methods are used to overcome this waste problem, such as chlorination, biodegradation and ozonation methods [1]. This method requires operational
costs that are quite expensive so that it is less effective to be applied in Indonesia [2]. One method that is relatively inexpensive and easily applied in Indonesia, namely photodegradation. the principle is to use photocatalysts derived from semiconductor materials, such as TiO$_2$, ZnO [3].

The application of photocatalysts as waste degradation agents has been widely carried out. The application of ZnO as a transformation of humic acid with the help of UV light [4, 5]. Application in water splitting and as a promoter of the formation of electrical energy through visible light conversion techniques and water splitting [6, 7].

Zinc oxide semiconductors (ZnO) are the best alternative choices after TiO$_2$ semiconductors in their application as photocatalysts. The basic consideration is that the ZnO semiconductor is resistant to corrosion, has gap energy (E$_g$ = 3.2 to 3.5 eV), and is much cheaper than TiO$_2$. so that ZnO can be activated with UV (ultraviolet) radiation which results in low photocatalytic efficiency of ZnO in visible light. Increasing ZnO photocatalytic activity in visible light can be done by reducing ZnO energy bandgap by adding another semiconductor that has a narrow bandgap. ZnO incorporation has been carried out with other metal oxides and sulfides such as TiO$_2$, SnO$_2$, WO$_3$, NiO, Fe$_2$O$_3$, and CuO [8-12]. Copper (II) oxide (CuO) was chosen because it is stable at the atmosphere, stable to light, low cost, and can cause a shift in absorption of ZnO in the direction of visible light. CuO bandgap is 1.2 eV [12-15].

Preparation of ZnO-CuO nano-composites can be done by several methods including solid chemical synthesis method, coprecipitation, sol gel, and sonochemistry (sonochemistry). Sonochemical method (Ultrasonic Irradiation) is used to synthesize ZnO-CuO nanocomposites because it has several advantages such as cheap, fast, easy to control size, low reaction temperature and the resulting particles have high homogeneity. Nanomaterial synthesis using sonochemical method is carried out using ultrasonic waves [16-19].

This research will be conducted to produce ZnO-CuO composites which have high photocatalytic efficiency in visible light regions, compared to ZnO and CuO semiconductors. In this research, LAS compounds will be degraded with ZnO-CuO composites to be able to be activated under visible light and reduce recombination reactions. This research will use LAS compounds as raw materials, ZnO-CuO composite catalysts. The results of this study were analyzed using UV-vis spectrophotometer and the parameters measured were LAS concentration before and after the photocatalyst process.
2. Experimental Section

2.1. Tools and materials

The tools used in this study are UV/Vis Spectrophotometer, Photocatalyst Box consisting of UV lamps and magnetic stirrer Selecta Multimatic brands, Ultrasonic (45 Hz) Ultrasonic Cleaner 968 brands, X-Ray diffraction (XRD), Fourier Infrared Transfers (FTIR), centrifuge, Oven brand Xu 225 France Etuves, Neycraft brand furnace, Kern brand analytical balance, and glass equipment: measuring flask, beaker, dropper pipette and stirring rod. The material used in this study is zinc acetate dihydrate Zn(CH$_3$COO)$_2$.2H$_2$O (Merck), CuSO$_4$.5H$_2$O (Merck), KOH (Merck), ethanol, cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB, Merck), linear powder alkylbenzen sulphonate (LAS) (Merck), ZnO (Merck), CuO (Merck) and aquades.

2.2. Procedure

2.2.1. ZnO-CuO catalyst synthesis

Mixed with 0.160 g Zn(CH$_3$COO)$_2$.2H$_2$O, 0.2497 g CuSO$_4$.5H$_2$O, 0.5611 g KOH and 0.1093 g CTAB dissolved with distilled water to a volume of 30 mL solution. Then it was sterilized for 15 minutes. The mixture was heated at a temperature of 50°C and ultrasound at a frequency of 45 Hz for one hour. The mixture was then centrifuged at a speed of 5000 rpm for 10 minutes, the filtrate was removed. The precipitate was washed with 30 mL of distilled water and 20 mL of absolute ethanol. The precipitate was dried in an oven at 120°C for 2 hours. Calcined at 50°C for 5 hours, by raising the temperature slowly from 200 for 30 minutes, 300 for 45 minutes, and temperature 400 for 60 minutes. The same procedure is also done by varying the ratio of the precursor composition, namely Zn(CH$_3$COO)$_2$.2H$_2$O: CuSO4 (2:1, 3:1, 4:1, 5:1) [20].

2.2.2. Sampel preparation

As much as 1 g of LAS surfactant were weighed, then diluted with distilled water to 1 L (1000 ppm). Then 10 ml of mother liquor was taken (1000 ppm) and diluted with distilled water to 1 L (10 ppm). The sample solution will be used in this study is a LAS solution with a concentration of 10 ppm.
2.2.3. Characterization of ZnO-CuO catalyst

Characterization using UV-DRS was carried out to calculate the value of the full ZnO-CuO catalyst. Then it was characterized by FTIR instrument which aims to find out the information on the location of the oxide peak in the resulting ZnO-CuO catalyst.

2.2.4. Test of photocatalytic activity for LAS degradation

Determination of precusor comparison and ZnO-CuO catalyst optimum mass

40 mL of LAS solution containing 10 ppm and added 10 mg of ZnO-CuO catalyst. then irradiated with a UV lamp for 2 hours while being distributed. Then the solution was centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 10 minutes and the filtrate was separated. The absorbance of the filtrate was determined using a UV-Vis spectrophotometer at a wavelength of 200-300 nm. The same procedure was carried out for the addition of 20, 30, 40, 50, 60 mg of ZnO-CuO catalyst (2: 1, 3: 1, 4: 1, 5: 1).

Determination of optimum radiation time

40 mL of LAS solution containing 10 ppm LAS and added catalyst x mg ZnO-CuO (x = optimum concentration) then cycled with UV light with a time variation of 75, 90, 105, 120, 135 and 150 minutes while being sterilized. Then the solution was centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 10 minutes and the filtrate was separated. The absorbance of the filtrate was determined using a UV-Vis spectrophotometer at a wavelength of 200-300 nm.

Determination of the effect of catalyst types

40 mL of LAS solution containing 10 ppm LAS and added with catalyst x mg ZnO-CuO (x = optimum concentration) then irradiated with a UV lamp for t minutes while being distributed. Then the solution was centrifuged at 5000 rpm for 10 minutes and the filtrate was separated. The absorbance of the filtrate was determined using a UV-Vis spectrophotometer at a wavelength of 200-300 nm. The same procedure is also performed using ZnO and CuO catalysts. Then the optimum ZnO-CuO catalyst with different conditions without distrrirer, and without irradiation [21].
3. Results and Discussion

Analysis using UV-DRS was only carried out on pure ZnO samples and the ZnO-CuO catalyst was synthesized, namely ZnO-CuO 2:1 which is the maximum ZnO-CuO catalyst sample seen from the results of LAS phototransformation as shown in Fig. 5. From the sample test, % reflectance to wavelength. The data obtained will be processed to obtain an estimate of the band gap value of each sample. Where from the results obtained it can be seen how the effect of pure ZnO with synthesis catalyst is ZnO-CuO 2: 1 on the band gap energy of each sample. The band gap graph of ZnO and ZnO-CuO 2: 1 is shown in Fig. 1.

Band gap values from semiconductors can be calculated using the Kubelka-Munk theory. Band gap values of ZnO and ZnO-CuO 2: 1 are calculated from reflectance spectra. Based on Fig. 1, it can be seen that the band gap values obtained for ZnO ∼ 3.25 eV and ZnO-CuO 2: 1 ∼ 2.75 eV. This shows that with the addition of another semiconductor, CuO on ZnO catalyst can reduce the band gap value. The small band gap value obtained in ZnO-CuO 2: 1. This makes the photocatalytic activity more effective than other ZnO, CuO and ZnO-CuO composites.

From Fig. 3, it can be seen that the incorporation of ZnO with CuO which has a narrow bandgap can reduce the ZnO bandgap itself so that the absorption of ZnO shifts to the visible light region.

In this study, FTIR analysis was carried out in pure ZnO catalyst samples, pure CuO as a comparison standard for the synthesis catalyst samples, ZnO-CuO. FTIR spectra of ZnO-CuO catalyst can be seen in Fig. 4.

From Fig. 4, it can be seen that the synthesis catalyst ZnO-CuO 2: 1 shows that a new absorption band is formed which shows the stretching vibration of ZnO-CuO composite metal oxide, while there is no other comparison formed ZnO-CuO Composite but only vibrations are formed. CuO peak stretch. This is because the relative molecular period of Zn is greater than Cu which results in the formation of CuO peaks. in the wave number range 400-600 nm is the area of stretching of metal oxide oxide absorption.

ZnO-CuO composites synthesized by different precursor comparison were tested for photocatalytic activity for LAS phototransformation. Fig. 5 shows that several comparisons of the percentage of ZnO-CuO composite catalysts from the results of phototransformation of LAS were carried out.

Comparison of precursor composition also affects the photocatalytic activity of ZnO-CuO composites. The photocatalytic activity of ZnO-CuO composites synthesized by
Figure 1: Graph of band gap values (a) ZnO, (b) ZnO-CuO 2:1 using UV-DRS.

The ratio of Zn (CH$_3$COO)$_2$.2H$_2$O:CuSO$_4$.5H$_2$O 2:1 precursors was higher, i.e., 54.71% compared to (1: 1, 3: 1, 4: 1, 5: 1) to Phototransformation of LAS.

The amount of ZnO-CuO 2:1 composite catalyst as much as 40 mg in 40 ml of 10 ppm LAS solution becomes the saturation point or optimum conditions for the photocatalytic activity of ZnO-CuO 2:1 composite (Fig. 6). Larger precursor compositions cause higher levels of saturation of the solution so that the crystalline nucleus is formed too quickly.
so that the particle size will be greater because of the agglomeration of the formed crystal nucleus.

The crystal growth process will be different from and without surfactants. Cetyltrimethylammonium bromide (CTAB) surfactant can cause the surface tension between two liquids to decrease so that the energy needed to form a new phase will be smaller. CTAB is a cationic surfactant that will be fully ionized in water.
The calcination process carried out on the synthesis of ZnO-CuO composites increased its photocatalytic activity. This increase in photocatalytic activity is caused by the decomposition of CTAB surfactants and the formation of crystals more completely when calcination occurs. Crystal structures predominantly affect semiconductor photocatalytic activity compared to morphology, particle size, and size dispersion [13, 14].

Fig. 7 shows that the percentage of degradation of the LAS solution. ZnO-CuO shows a higher percentage than ZnO and CuO because CuO which is composited with ZnO can reduce bandgap energy. In CuO recombination (e-) and (h+) occur quickly. The incorporation of CuO with ZnO causes recombination time (e-) and (h+) to be slowed because of the transfer of charge between the two, namely h+ on ZnO will be transferred to CuO and e- in CuO will be transferred to ZnO (Zhang., 2010). This causes the availability of sufficient time for LAS to be adsorbed on the surface of the ZnO-CuO catalyst so that the photocatalytic activity is highest compared to the single ZnO and CuO semiconductors.
In the photocatalytic activity test, the ZnO-CuO catalyst was carried out on the optimum catalyst mass of 40 mg and the optimum time of irradiation was 105 minutes, where control was performed to see the possible effects of irradiation and stirring on LAS phototransformation. From the measurement results can be seen in the form of bar
charts (Fig. 8) which shows that the effect of irradiation and stirring greatly affects the photocatalytic activity of the ZnO-CuO catalyst in the LAS phototransformation process.

LAS phototransformation still occurs even without irradiation, this is because electron mobility still occurs even in dark conditions, the influence of room temperature can also induce photoconduction on the surface of the catalyst [20].

4. Conclusion

Based on the results of research that has been done, it can be concluded that the percentage of LAS degradation is higher in the precursor 2:1 ratio of 54.71% with the
The optimum catalyst mass of 40 mg. The optimum time of copying for LAS degradation is at 105 minutes with a catalyst mass of 40 mg. The photocatalytic activity of ZnO-CuO catalyst is higher in the percentage of degradation than the single ZnO and CuO semiconductors.
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